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#### Abstract

We prove that only a small fraction of integers can be represented as linear combination of integral $S$-units. As an application, we get that almost all primes are not representable as linear combinations of such numbers. We also get a somewhat more precise statement in the case of representations by linear combinations of pure powers.


## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be a finite set of integers, and let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ be positive integers. Motivated by a question of M. Pohst, L. Hajdu [4] proved that there exist infinitely many primes which cannot be represented as $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} b_{i}^{u_{i}}$ with $a_{i} \in A$. However, this result is ineffective in the sense that on the one hand it does not give any detail about the distribution of the non-represented primes, and on the other hand it does not provide any information on the set of primes which can be represented in the desired form.

In this paper, we make some progress in this direction. First we prove that only a small fraction of integers can be represented as a linear combination of integral $S$-units for any fixed $S$, where the coefficients come from an arbitrary fixed finite set. As an immediate consequence, we get that almost all primes are not representable as linear combinations of $S$-units. In the special but important case of combinations of pure powers, we get more precise results about the distribution of the numbers which can be represented in the mentioned form. The main tools of our proofs are the subspace theorem, and a classical result of Erdős, Pomerance and Schmutz [1] on the small values of the Carmichael-function.
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## 2. Results

To formulate our results, we need to introduce some notation. Let $S=$ $\left\{p_{1}, \ldots, p_{t}\right\}$ be some finite set of primes of cardinality $t$, and put $P=\max _{1 \leq i \leq t} p_{i}$. Let $T=\left\{p_{1}^{u_{1}} \cdots p_{t}^{u_{t}}: u_{i} \geq 0\right\}$ be the set of all positive integers whose prime factors belong to $S$. Further, let $A$ be some finite set of integers, and write $n=\# A$ and $a^{*}=\max _{a \in A}|a|$. Finally, let $k$ be a fixed positive integer. Throughout the paper, $k$ will denote the number of terms in the representations by certain linear combinations. Put

$$
H_{A, S, k}=\left\{v \in \mathbb{N}: v=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} s_{i} \text { where } a_{i} \in A, s_{i} \in T(i=1, \ldots, k)\right\}
$$

For a real number $x \geq 1$, we put $H_{A, S, k}(x)=H_{A, S, k} \cap[1, x]$. Our first result shows that $H_{A, S, k}(x)$ is very small.

Theorem 1. The estimate

$$
\# H_{A, S, k}(x) \ll(\log x)^{t k}
$$

holds for all large $x$. The implied constant depends on $n, a^{*}, P, t$ and $k$.
Remark 1. Under a certain rather strong assumption which is not satisfied in our case, Everest [2] proved a general asymptotic formula which, in particular, applies to count the number of those $k$-term linear combinations $b$ of $S$-units which have no vanishing subsum and whose $S$-norm is smaller than $x$ (see [2] for the precise result). The asymptotic formula in [2] is of the size $O\left((\log x)^{t k}\right)$. Hence, our result is an upper bound of the same strength as the result of Everest when no extra conditions are being imposed. It would be interesting to give a common generalization of Everest's result and our Theorem 1.

As a simple and immediate consequence of Theorem 1, we get that almost all primes are outside $H_{A, S, k}$. For the precise formulation of this statement, let $\mathbb{P}$ denote the set of all positive primes, and for $L \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ denote by $\mathcal{D}(L)$ the density of $L$ inside $\mathbb{P}$, if it exists.

Theorem 2. Using the above notation, let $L=\mathbb{P} \cap H_{A, S, k}$. Then we have $\mathcal{D}(L)=0$.

Remark 2. It is widely believed that the number of Mersenne primes (i.e., primes of the form $2^{u}-1$ ) is infinite. If this conjecture is true, then by the choice $k=2, S=\{2\}$ and $A=\{-1,1\}$, we have that $\mathbb{P} \cap H_{A, S, k}$ is infinite. Hence, Theorem 2 seems to be best possible in some sense.

Now we give a variant of Theorem 1 concerning representations of integers by linear combinations of pure powers. For this purpose we need some new
notation. Let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}$ be positive integers and write $\underline{b}=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right)$. Put

$$
H_{A, \underline{b}}=\left\{v \in \mathbb{N}: v=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} b_{i}^{u_{i}} \text { where } a_{i} \in A, u_{i} \geq 0(i=1, \ldots, k)\right\} .
$$

Note that by choosing $S$ to be the set of prime divisors of $b_{1} \cdots b_{k}, H_{A, \underline{b}}$ is a subset of $H_{A, S, k}$. If $H \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq 2$, we then put

$$
H \quad(\bmod m)=\{r: 0 \leq r<m, d \equiv r \quad(\bmod m) \text { for some } d \in H\} .
$$

Our main result in this direction is the following.
Theorem 3. Let $C$ be an arbitrary positive real number. There exists a positive integer $m=m(k, n, C)>C$ depending only on $k, n$ and $C$ such that

$$
\#\left(H_{A, \underline{b}} \quad(\bmod m)\right)<c_{0}(k, n)(\log m)^{c_{1} k \log \log \log m} .
$$

Here, $c_{0}(k, n)$ is a constant depending only on $k$ and $n$, and $c_{1}$ is an absolute constant.

Roughly speaking, Theorem 3 states that for any $A$ and $\underline{b}$ one can find a "large" modulus $m$, such that "many" residue classes modulo $m$ remain untouched by the set $H_{A, \underline{\underline{b}}}$.
Similarly as before, for $x \geq 1$, we write $H_{A, \underline{b}}(x)=H_{A, \underline{b}} \cap[1, x]$. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3, we get the following statement.

Corollary 2.1. There exists a strictly increasing sequence $X=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers such that the inequality

$$
\# H_{A, \underline{b}}\left(x_{i}\right)<c_{0}(k, n)\left(\log x_{i}\right)^{c_{1} k \log \log \log x_{i}}
$$

holds for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where the constants $c_{0}(k, n)$ and $c_{1}$ are specified in Theorem 3.

Remark 3. Theorem 1 concerns a more general situation than Corollary 2.1, and further, the bound in Theorem 1 is better in terms of $x$. However, a remarkable property of the upper bound in Corollary 2.1 is that it depends only on $k$ and $n$, and is independent of $\underline{b}$ and $a^{*}$. Further, in view of Theorem 3, one has some extra information about the distribution of the elements of the set $H_{A, \underline{b}}$ (see the remark after Theorem 3). Finally, we note that using the well-known fact that there is some positive constant $c^{*}$ such that the inequality

$$
\varphi(m)>c^{*} \frac{m}{\log \log m}
$$

holds if $m$ is large enough, one can easily prove that $\mathcal{D}\left(\mathbb{P} \cap H_{A, \underline{b}}\right)=0$ for any $A$ and $\underline{b}$.

## 3. Proofs of the theorems

Proof of Theorem 1. We proceed by induction on $t$. Assume that $t=1$. If $k=1$, then $H_{A, S, 1}$ consists of the positive integers of the form $a p^{\ell}$ for some $a \in A$ and $\ell \geq 0$. Clearly, $a$ is positive. Obviously, the condition $a p^{\ell} \leq x$ leads to $\ell \leq \log x / \log 2$. Hence,

$$
\# H_{A, S, 1}(x) \ll n \log x \ll \log x
$$

Assume still that $t=1$ but $k>1$. Write $v \in H_{A, S, k}$ as

$$
v=a_{1} p^{\ell_{1}}+\cdots+a_{k} p^{\ell_{k}}
$$

where $a_{i} \in A$ and $\ell_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \ell_{k} \geq 0$. Let $C_{1}$ be a positive integer such that $p^{C_{1}}>2 k a^{*}$. If $\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}>C_{1}$, then

$$
v \geq p^{\ell_{1}}\left(1-\left|\sum_{i=2}^{k} a_{i} p^{\ell_{i}-\ell_{1}}\right|\right)>p^{\ell_{1}}\left(1-\frac{k a^{*}}{p^{C_{1}}}\right)>\frac{p^{\ell_{1}}}{2}
$$

therefore $\ell_{1} \leq \log (2 x) / \log 2$. Since $\ell_{i} \leq \ell_{1}$ for $i=2, \ldots, k$, we get that

$$
\# H_{A, S, k} \leq n^{k}\left(C_{2} \log x\right)^{k} \ll(\log x)^{k}
$$

where we can take $C_{2}=2$ provided that $x$ is large. If on the contrary, $\ell_{1}-\ell_{2} \leq C_{1}$, then we enlarge the set $A$ to the set $A^{\prime}$ consisting of all elements of the form $a_{1} p^{\alpha}+a_{2}$, where $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A$ and $\alpha \in\left\{0,1, \ldots, C_{1}\right\}$, note that

$$
v=\left(a_{1} p^{\ell_{1}-\ell_{2}}+a_{2}\right) p^{\ell_{2}}+\cdots+a_{k} p^{\ell_{k}} \in H_{A^{\prime}, S, k-1}
$$

and apply the induction hypothesis.
Assume now that $t>1$. Again, if $k=1$, then $v=a s_{1}$, where $s_{1}=$ $p_{1}^{\ell_{1}} \cdots p_{t}^{\ell_{t}}$. Obviously, $\ell_{i} \ll \log x$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$, therefore

$$
H_{A, S, 1} \ll(\log x)^{t}
$$

Assume now that $t>1$ and that $k>1$. Write

$$
v=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} s_{i}
$$

and assume that $s_{1} \geq s_{2} \geq \cdots \geq s_{k}$. One may also assume, because of the induction hypothesis, that $a_{i} \neq 0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$. Let $v \leq x$. If $s_{1} \leq x^{2}$, then, by the argument used in the case $t>1$ and $k=1$, we get that the number of possibilities for $s_{1}$ is $O\left((\log x)^{t}\right)$. Since $s_{i} \leq s_{1}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, k$, it follows that the number of possibilities for $s_{i}$ is $O\left((\log x)^{t}\right)$ for each of $i=1, \ldots, k$. Since the coefficients $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ can be chosen in at most $n^{k}$ ways, we get that the number of such $v$-s is $O\left((\log x)^{k t}\right)$.

It remains to deal with the case when $s_{1}>x^{2}$. For this, we use the subspace theorem. Let $\bar{S}=S \cup\{\infty\}$ regarded as a set of valuations of $\mathbb{Q}$ containing the infinite one. For each $\mu \in \bar{S}$, let $L_{i, \mu}(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the linear
form of $k$ variables given by $L_{i, \mu}(\mathbf{x})=x_{i}$ if $\mu$ is finite, or $\mu=\infty$ and $i>1$, and $L_{1, \infty}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} x_{i}$. We evaluate the double product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{\mu \in \bar{S}}\left|L_{i, \mu}(\mathbf{s})\right|_{\mu} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{s}=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{k}\right)$. Since $s_{2}, \ldots, s_{k}$ are all $S$-units, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\mu \in \bar{S}}\left|L_{i, \mu}(\mathbf{s})\right|_{\mu}=1 \quad \text { for all } i=2, \ldots, k \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $i=1$, again since $s_{1}$ is an $S$-unit, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{\mu \in \bar{S} \backslash\{\infty\}}\left|L_{i, \mu}(\mathbf{s})\right|_{\mu}=s_{1}^{-1} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L_{1, \infty}(\mathbf{s})\right|_{\infty}=\left|a_{1} s_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} s_{k}\right|<x<s_{1}^{1 / 2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimates (2), (3) and (4) show that for the double product given by (1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{\mu \in \bar{S}}\left|L_{i, \mu}(\mathbf{s})\right|_{\mu}<s_{1}^{-1 / 2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the subspace theorem, there exist finitely many hyperplanes such that all solutions s of equation (5) are contained in these hyperplanes. More precisely, there exist non-zero integral vectors $\mathbf{d}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathbf{d}^{(\lambda)}$ with

$$
\lambda<C_{3}\left(t, k, n, a^{*}, P\right),
$$

where $C_{3}\left(t, k, n, a^{*}, P\right)$ is an explicitly computable constant depending on the specified parameters (see e.g. [3]), such that each solution s of (5) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i}^{(j)} s_{i}=0 \quad \text { for some } j=1, \ldots, \lambda \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $j=1, \ldots, \lambda$, let $i_{j}$ denote the minimal index for which $d_{i_{j}}^{(j)} \neq 0$. Put

$$
A^{\prime}=A \cup\left\{a-a^{\prime} d_{i}^{(j)} / d_{i_{j}}^{(j)}: a, a^{\prime} \in A, i=1, \ldots, k, j=1, \ldots, \lambda, i \neq i_{j}\right\}
$$

Observe that $\# A^{\prime} \leq C_{4}\left(k, t, n, a^{*}, P\right)$, where $C_{4}\left(k, t, n, a^{*}, P\right)$ is also a constant depending on $k, t, n, a^{*}$ and $P$.

Let now $v \in H_{A, S, k}(x)$, and write

$$
v=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} s_{i}
$$

with $a_{i} \in A$. Then, as satisfies (6) with the appropriate $\mathbf{d}^{(j)}$, we get

$$
s_{i_{j}}=-\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{j}}}^{k}\left(d_{i}^{(j)} / d_{i_{j}}^{(j)}\right) s_{i} .
$$

Then

$$
v=\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq i_{j}}}^{k} a_{i}^{\prime} s_{i}
$$

where $a_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i}-a_{i_{j}}\left(d_{i}^{(j)} / d_{i_{j}}^{(j)}\right.$ ). In particular, $a_{i}^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}$. By the induction hypothesis for $A^{\prime}$, the number of such $v^{\prime}$ s is $\ll(\log x)^{(k-1) t} \ll(\log x)^{k t}$, which finishes the argument.

Proof of Theorem 2. As it is well-known by the Prime Number Theorem, $\#(\mathbb{P} \cap[1, x])=\pi(x)$ is asymptotically $x / \log x$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, the desired statement follows immediately from Theorem 1.

We continue with the proof of Theorem 3. For this purpose, we need some new notation and two lemmas.

Let $\lambda(m)$ be the Carmichael function of the positive integer $m$. Recall that for $m \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda(m)$ denotes the least positive integer for which

$$
b^{\lambda(m)} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod m)
$$

for all $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(b, m)=1$.
Lemma 1. Let $m=q_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots q_{z}^{\alpha_{z}}$ where $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{z}$ are distinct primes, and let $b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have

$$
\#\left\{b^{u} \quad(\bmod m): u \geq 0\right\} \leq \lambda(m)+\max _{1 \leq i \leq z} \alpha_{i}
$$

Proof. Let $\beta=\max _{1 \leq i \leq z} \alpha_{i}$. Write $m=m_{1} m_{2}$, where $m_{2}$ is maximal with the property that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(m_{2}, b\right)=1$. Consequently, the powers $b^{u}$ are congruent to $0\left(\bmod m_{1}\right)$ if $u \geq \beta$. So, for $u \geq \beta$, the class $b^{u}(\bmod m)$ "varies" only modulo $m_{2}$. Since $\left(b, m_{2}\right)=1$, the length of the period of the powers $b^{u}$, with $u \geq \beta$, is at most $\lambda\left(m_{2}\right)$ modulo $m_{2}$. Using the fact that $\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right)=1$, the length of the period modulo $m$ is at most $\lambda\left(m_{2}\right)$ as well by the Chinese remainder theorem. Since $\lambda\left(m_{2}\right) \leq \lambda(m)$, the period length modulo $m$ is at most $\lambda(m)$, while the length of the preperiod is at most $\beta$. The statement now follows.

The next lemma is a nice result of Erdős, Pomerance and Schmutz [1] concerning "small" values of the Carmichael function.

Lemma 2. There exists a positive constant $c_{1}$ and a strictly increasing sequence $N=\left(n_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of natural numbers such that for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\lambda\left(n_{i}\right)<\left(\log n_{i}\right)^{c_{1} \log \log \log n_{i}}
$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.
Proof of Theorem 3. Let $k, n$ and $C$ be fixed, and choose an element $m=n_{i}$ from the sequence $N$ in Lemma 2 such that $m>C$. Write $m=q_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots q_{z}^{\alpha_{z}}$ in the usual manner, where $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{z}$ are distinct primes and $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{z}$ are positive integers. Lemma 1 yields that for all $b \in\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{k}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#\left\{a \cdot b^{u} \quad(\bmod m): a \in A, u \geq 0\right\} \leq n\left(\lambda(m)+\max _{1 \leq i \leq z} \alpha_{i}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, a simple calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n\left(\lambda(m)+\max _{1 \leq i \leq z} \alpha_{i}\right) \leq n\left(\frac{\log m}{\log 2}+(\log m)^{c_{1} \log \log \log m}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{1}$ is specified in Lemma 2. The statement is an immediate consequence of inequalities (7) and (8).

Proof of Corollary 2.1. Arranging in increasing order the resulting values of the moduli $m$ corresponding to different values of $C$ provided by Theorem 3, we get an infinite sequence $X=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ which has the desired property.
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