PARALLEL LLL-REDUCTION FOR BOUNDING THE INTEGRAL SOLUTIONS OF ELLIPTIC DIOPHANTINE EQUATIONS

L. HAJDU AND T. KOVÁCS

In this file we provide some extra examples for the paper. For the notation and background theory, see the paper.

Example 1. This example is from [10]. The problem is to find the integral points on the curve

$$C: \quad 30u^3 + 45u^2 + 15u = v^3 + 5v^2 + 6v.$$

The curve is birationally equivalent to

 $E: \quad y^2 = x^3 - 2041200x + 968403600.$

The rank of E is r = 4, and an ST-basis of E (obtained by the method in [18]) is given by

 $P_1 = (-1080, -43740), P_2 = (-540, 43740), P_3 = (1080, 4860), P_4 = (540, 4860).$

The final bound obtained for the coordinates of the images of the integral points of C on E is $N_{final} = 8$ in this basis (cf. [10]).

Strategy 1. Using the above explained methods, we get the following table.

i	j	bound for $ 10n_i \pm n_j $	bound for $ n_i $
1	2	(72,66)	6
2	1	(77,70)	7
3	4	(75,77)	7
4	3	(76,78)	7

Based upon the table, the improvement is given by

$$\frac{(2\cdot 6+1)(2\cdot 7+1)(2\cdot 7+1)(2\cdot 7+1)}{(2\cdot 8+1)^4} = 0.525316.$$

Strategy 2. The basis transformation matrices (with respect to the ST-basis) of the best ten bases (obtained by the method of Stroeker and Tzanakis [18]) are given by

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$

Research supported in part by the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and by the OTKA grants T48791 and K67580.

©XXXX American Mathematical Society

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$

The corresponding λ values are

0.428207, 0.399243, 0.388631, 0.330698, 0.309021,

0.280895, 0.279407, 0.278964, 0.278013, 0.277034,

and the final bounds N_{final} obtained after reduction are

8, 8, 8, 9, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,

respectively. Combining these data, using the notation (??) (with respect to the ST-basis) we get the system of linear inequalities

$$(1) \qquad \qquad \begin{pmatrix} -6\\ -7\\ -7\\ -7\\ -8\\ -9\\ -10\\ -10\\ -10\\ -10 \end{pmatrix} \le \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1\\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_1\\ n_2\\ n_3\\ n_4 \end{pmatrix} \le \begin{pmatrix} 6\\ 7\\ 7\\ 8\\ 9\\ 10\\ 10\\ 10 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that here we could already use the improved upper bounds obtained by Strategy 1 for the $|n_i|$. Using Latte [11], we get that the above inequality (1) has precisely $N^* = 25825$ integral solutions in (n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) . Hence the "improvement ratio" is

$$N^*/(2N_{final}+1)^4 = 25825/(2 \cdot 8 + 1)^4 = 0.309204,$$

where $N_{final} = 8$ corresponds to the ST-basis P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 .

Example 2. This example is also from [10]. The problem is to determine the integral points on the curve

$$C: \quad 2u^4 + 56u^3 + 504u^2 + 1440u = v^2 + v.$$

The curve is birationally equivalent to

$$E: \quad y^2 = x^3 - 4034x + 83056.$$

We have $r = \operatorname{rank}(E) = 4$, and an ST-basis of E is

$$P_1 = (-72, 16), P_2 = (15, -161), P_3 = (-38, -426), P_4 = (24, 8).$$

The final bound obtained for the coordinates of the images of the integral points of C on E is $N_{final} = 7$ in this basis (cf. [10]).

Strategy 1. We get the following table.

i	j	bound for $ 10n_i \pm n_j $	bound for $ n_i $
1	4	(56,57)	5
2	3	(63,71)	6
3	2	(70,76)	7
4	1	(66, 66)	6

The improvement is given by

$$\frac{(2\cdot 5+1)(2\cdot 6+1)(2\cdot 7+1)(2\cdot 6+1)}{(2\cdot 7+1)^4} = 0.550815$$

Strategy 2. The basis transformation matrices of the best ten bases are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{pmatrix}.$$

The corresponding λ values are

0.828603, 0.811000, 0.787555, 0.760924, 0.745728,

0.738958, 0.734586, 0.733220, 0.727657, 0.727082,

and the final bounds ${\cal N}_{final}$ obtained after reduction are

respectively. We get the system of linear inequalities

By Latte [11] we get that the above system has $N^* = 14917$ integral solutions in (n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) . Hence the "improvement ratio" is

$$14917/(2 \cdot 7 + 1)^4 = 0.294657$$

Example 3. This example is from [20]. The problem is to find the integral points on the curve

$$C: \quad 35u^4 - 350u^3 + 945u^2 - 630u + 11025 = v^2.$$

The curve is birationally equivalent to

 $E: \quad y^2 = x^3 - 1620675x + 385103250.$

The rank of E is r = 5, and an ST-basis of E is given by

 $P_1 = (105, 14700), P_2 = (-4235/9, 872200/9), P_3 = (-315, -29400),$

$$P_4 = (210, 7350), P_5 = (-1365, -7350).$$

The final bound obtained for the coordinates of the images of the integral point of C on E is $N_{final} = 10$ in this basis (cf. [20]).

Strategy 1. We obtain the following table.

i	j	bound for $ 10n_i \pm n_j $	bound for $ n_i $
1	2	(104, 108)	10
2	1	(71,80)	7
3	2	(100, 104)	10
4	5	(89,94)	9
5	4	(92,94)	9

The improvement is given by

$$\frac{(2 \cdot 10 + 1)(2 \cdot 7 + 1)(2 \cdot 10 + 1)(2 \cdot 9 + 1)(2 \cdot 9 + 1)}{(2 \cdot 10 + 1)^5} = 0.584710.$$

Strategy 2. The basis transformation matrices of the best ten bases:

The corresponding λ values are

0.516652, 0.516646, 0.514401, 0.509521, 0.502631,

0.501732, 0.499272, 0.497399, 0.497375, 0.491591,

and the final bounds N_{final} obtained after reduction are

respectively. These yield the system of linear inequalities

/ 10\

$$\begin{pmatrix} -10 \\ -7 \\ -10 \\ -9 \\ -9 \\ -10 \\ -11 \\ -11 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_3 \\ n_4 \\ n_5 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Latte [11] we get that the above inequality has precisely $N^* = 1378657$ integral solutions in $(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5)$. Hence the "improvement ratio" is

 $1378657/(2 \cdot 10 + 1)^5 = 0.337567.$

Example 4. This example is from [18]. We would like to determine the integral points on the curve

 $E: \quad y^2 = x^3 - 203472x + 18487440.$

The rank of E is r = 5, and an ST-basis of E is

 $P_1 = (468, 5076), P_2 = (-216, 7236), P_3 = (432, 3348),$

$$P_4 = (-36, 5076), P_5 = (36, 3348).$$

The final bound obtained for the coordinates of the integral points of E is $N_{final} = 9$ in this basis (see [18]).

Strategy 1. We get the table

i	j	bound for $ 10n_i \pm n_j $	bound for $ n_i $
1	4	(77, 82)	7
2	1	(85,79)	8
3	5	(76, 81)	7
4	5	(84,88)	8
5	1	(75,81)	7

The improvement is

$$\frac{(2\cdot 7+1)(2\cdot 8+1)(2\cdot 7+1)(2\cdot 8+1)(2\cdot 7+1)}{(2\cdot 9+1)^5} = 0.393916.$$

Strategy 2. The basis transformation matrices of the best ten bases are

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{pmatrix}.$$

The corresponding λ values are

0.46493, 0.45844, 0.45792, 0.44837, 0.44736,

0.42425, 0.41358, 0.41295, 0.41229, 0.41173,

and the final bounds N_{final} obtained after the reduction are

9, 9, 9, 9, 9, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,

respectively. Combining these data, we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} -i \\ -i \\ -7 \\ -8 \\ -7 \\ -9 \\ -9 \\ -9 \\ -9 \\ -9 \\ -10 \\ -10 \\ -10 \\ -10 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ n_3 \\ n_4 \\ n_5 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 7 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 10 \\ 10 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Latte [11] gives that the above system has $N^* = 396785$ integral solutions in $(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5)$. Hence the "improvement ratio" is

$$396785/(2 \cdot 9 + 1)^5 = 0.160246.$$

Example 5. This example is from [18]. The problem is to find the integral points on the curve

 $E: \quad y^2 = x^3 - 879984x + 319138704.$

The rank of E is r = 5, and an ST-basis of E is given by

$$P_1 = (468, 3132), P_2 = (-684, -24516), P_3 = (720, -7668),$$

$$P_4 = (432, -4428), P_5 = (540, -1188).$$

The final bound obtained for the coordinates of the integral points of E is $N_{final} = 9$ in this basis (cf. [18]).

Strategy 1. We obtain the table

Hence the improvement is given by

$$\frac{(2\cdot 8+1)(2\cdot 7+1)(2\cdot 7+1)(2\cdot 9+1)(2\cdot 7+1)}{(2\cdot 9+1)^5} = 0.440259$$

,

i	j	bound for $ 10n_i \pm n_j $	bound for $ n_i $
1	5	(83,79)	8
2	1	(76, 82)	7
3	5	(77,78)	7
4	3	(94,89)	9
5	1	(79,77)	7

Strategy 2. The basis transformation matrices of the best ten bases:

$ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} $,
$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$	
$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$	

The corresponding λ values are

0.492063, 0.462853, 0.457636, 0.454803, 0.454749,

0.453727, 0.451024, 0.450503, 0.448775, 0.431040,

and the final bounds N_{final} obtained after reduction are

respectively. Thus we get the system of linear inequalities

$$\begin{pmatrix} -7\\ -7\\ -9\\ -9\\ -9\\ -9\\ -9\\ -9\\ -9 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_1\\ n_2\\ n_3\\ n_4\\ n_5 \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} 8\\ 7\\ 9\\ 9\\ 9\\ 9\\ 9 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Latte [11] we obtain that the above inequality has precisely $N^* = 513939$ integral solutions in $(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5)$. Hence the "improvement ratio" is

$$513939/(2 \cdot 9 + 1)^5 = 0.207560.$$

Example 6. This example is from [10]. The original problem translates to find the integral points on the curve

$$C: \quad u^4 + 14u^3 + 63u^2 + 90u = 315v^2 + 630v$$

The curve is birationally equivalent to

$$E: \quad y^2 = x^3 - 1620675x + 385103250.$$

The rank of E is r = 5, and an ST-basis of E is

$$P_1 = (-4235/9, -872200/27), P_2 = (-315, 29400), P_3 = (105, -14700)$$

 $P_4 = (210, 7350), P_5 = (-1365, 7350).$

The final bound obtained for the coordinates of the images of the integral points of C on E is $N_{final} = 11$ (see [10]).

Strategy 1. We get the following table:

i	j	bound for $ 10n_i \pm n_j $	bound for $ n_i $
1	2	(85,79)	8
2	1	(114,109)	11
3	1	(117,110)	11
4	5	(99,106)	10
5	4	(101,110)	10

So the improvement in this case is given by

$$\frac{(2\cdot 8+1)(2\cdot 11+1)(2\cdot 11+1)(2\cdot 10+1)(2\cdot 10+1)}{(2\cdot 11+1)^5} = 0.616174.$$

Strategy 2. The basis transformation matrices (with respect to the ST-basis) of the ten best basis:

The corresponding λ values are

0.516652, 0.516646, 0.514401, 0.509521, 0.502631,

0.501732, 0.499272, 0.497399, 0.497375, 0.491591,

and the final bounds N_{final} obtained after reduction are

respectively. Hence we get the system of linear inequalities

By Latte [11] we get that this inequality has $N^* = 2023095$ integral solutions in $(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5)$. Thus the "improvement ratio" is

$$2023095/(2 \cdot 11 + 1)^5 = 0.314324.$$

Example 7. This example is from [10]. The original problem is to find the integral points on the curve

$$C: \quad 2u^3 + 3u^2 + u = 6v^3 + 60v^2 + 144v.$$

The curve is birationally equivalent to

$$E: \quad y^2 = x^3 - 1008x + 2985993.$$

The rank of E is r = 6, and an ST-basis of E is

$$P_1 = (-36, 1725), P_2 = (298, 5399), P_3 = (243, 4134),$$

$$P_4 = (-138, -705), P_5 = (24, 1725), P_6 = (-41, 1720).$$

The final bound obtained for the coordinates of the images of the integral points of C on E is $N_{final} = 7$ in this basis (see [10]).

Strategy 1. We get the table

i	j	bound for $ 10n_i \pm n_j $	bound for $ n_i $
1	3	(70,68)	6
2	6	(69, 64)	6
3	4	(64, 61)	6
4	3	(64,60)	6
5	6	(68,71)	6
6	5	(59,63)	6

Hence the improvement is given by

$$\frac{(2\cdot 6+1)(2\cdot 6+1)(2\cdot 6+1)(2\cdot 6+1)(2\cdot 6+1)(2\cdot 6+1)}{(2\cdot 7+1)^6} = 0.423753.$$

Strategy 2. The basis transformation matrices of the best ten bases:

The corresponding λ values are

0.640325, 0.627020, 0.603695, 0.603010, 0.599688,

0.595452, 0.587593, 0.586898, 0.586647, 0.586371,

and the final bounds ${\cal N}_{final}$ obtained after reduction are

C

respectively. So we get the following system of linear inequalities

Latte [11] gives that the above system has precisely $N^* = 1801039$ integral solutions in $(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4, n_5, n_6)$. Thus the "improvement ratio" is

$$1801039/(2 \cdot 7 + 1)^6 = 0.158116.$$

References

- 1. A. Baker, The Diophantine equation $y^2 = ax^3 + bx^2 + cx + d$, J. London Math. Soc. **43** (1968), 1–9.
- A. I. Barvinok, A polynomial time algorithm for counting integral points in polyhedra when the dimension is fixed, in 34th Annual Symposium of Foundations of Computer Science, pp. 566–572, IEEE, Nov. 1993.
- Y. Bugeaud, On the size of integer solutions of elliptic equations, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 57 (1998), 199–206.
- Y. Bugeaud, On the size of integer solutions of elliptic equations II, Bull. Greek Math. Soc. 43 (2000), 125–130.
- S. David, Minorations de formes linéaires de logarithmes elliptiques, Soc. Math. France, Mémoire 62 (Suppl. Bull. S. M. F.) 123 (1995), pp. 143.
- J. Gebel, A. Pethő, H. G. Zimmer Computing integral points on elliptic curves, Acta Arith. 68 (1994), 171–192.
- 7. L. Hajdu, Optimal systems of fundamental S-units for LLL-reduction (to appear).
- L. Hajdu, T. Herendi, Explicit bounds for the solutions of elliptic equations with rational coefficients, J. Symbolic Computation 25 (1998), 361–366.
- 9. L. Hajdu, T. Kovács, A parallel LLL-reduction method for bounding the solutions of S-unit equations (manuscript).
- 10. T. Kovács, *Combinatorial Diophantine equations the genus 1 case*, Publ. Math. Debrecen (to appear).
- J. A. De Loera, D. Haws, R. Hemmecke, P. Huggins, J. Tauzer, R. Yoshida, A user's guide for LattE v1.1, Nov. 2003.
- Á. Pintér, On the magnitude of integer points on elliptic curves, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 52 (1995), 195–199.
- D. Poulakis, Integral points on algebraic curves with exceptional units, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 63 (1997), 145–164.
- 14. W. M. Schmidt, Integer points on curves of genus 1, Compositio Math. 81 (1992), 33-59.
- T. N. Shorey, R. Tijdeman, *Exponential Diophantine Equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- V. G. Sprindžuk, *Classical Diophantine Equations*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1559, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- R. J. Stroeker, N. Tzanakis, Solving elliptic diophantine equations by estimating linear forms in elliptic logarithms, Acta Arith. 67 (1994), 177–196.
- R. J. Stroeker, N. Tzanakis, On the Elliptic Logarithm Method for Elliptic Diophantine Equations: Reflections and an Improvement, Experimental Math. 8 (1999), 135–149.
- R. J. Stroeker, N. Tzanakis, Computing all integer solutions of a genus 1 equation, Math. Comp. 72 (2003), 1935–1946.
- R. J. Stroeker, B. M. M. de Weger, *Elliptic binomial diophantine equations*, Math. Comp. 68 (1999), 1257–1281.
- N. Tzanakis, Solving Elliptic Diophantine Equations by estimating Linear Forms in Elliptic Logarithms. The case of Quartic Equations, Acta Arith. 75 (1996), 165–190.
- 22. P. M. Voutier, An Upper Bound for the Size of Integer Solutions to $Y^m = f(X)$, J. Number Theory 53 (1995), 247–271.
- B. M. M. de Weger, Algorithms for diophantine equations, CWI Tract 65, Stichting Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1989.

UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, AND THE NUMBER THEORY RESEARCH GROUP OF THE HUNGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, P.O. BOX 12., H-4010 DEBRECEN, HUNGARY *E-mail address*: hajdul@math.klte.hu

University of Debrecen, Institute of Mathematics, P.O. Box 12., H-4010 Debrecen, Hungary

E-mail address: tundekov@gmail.com